Effect of Led Drying on Drying Behavior of *Prunus domestica* L. Fruit
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ABSTRACT

**Background:** Energy efficiency is becoming increasingly important in storing foods preserving their own characteristics and fruits and vegetables by drying in terms of self-life as well. **Objectives:** Since the Black Sea Region of Turkey is rainy and extremely humid during the harvest period of fruits and vegetables, the region is not suitable for natural drying of fruits and vegetables. For this reason, additional energy is needed for drying in the region. The *Prunus domestica* L. fruit that grown naturally in the Black Sea Region was dried using LED technology in a short time with minimum energy and preserving food properties, along with traditional methods. **Methods:** The fruits were dried at fixed lumen (10000 lumen) using the LED’s of three different color temperatures (3000 K, 4000 K and 6500 K), along with traditional (sun drying) and conventional (using electrical heater) drying. In addition, the effects of drying conditions on water-soluble dry matter, vitamin C, total phenolic, total flavonoid and total antioxidant (FRAP, DPPH) properties were investigated. **Results:** Time-dependent drying curves of *Prunus domestica* L. at five different drying conditions and time-dependent changes of the dryer temperature with LED drying were obtained. **Conclusion:** The drying time with 3 different LED temperatures was found to be shorter than that of the drying time of sun drying and electric heater. It was concluded that, energy efficiency was better in LED drying than those of conventional methods. **Key word:** Food drying, Sun drying, LED technology, Drying curve.

INTRODUCTION

Food drying is the process of lowering nourishment, which allows food to be stored for long periods of time while preserving its properties. The drying process is carried out naturally or mechanically, depending on the developing technologies. Some agricultural products (wheat, lentils, beans) can be dried naturally (by branch or by solar energy), but mechanization is needed for drying most agricultural products.

Drying kinetic of a product is to determine the time-dependent moisture loss from the product, depending on the moisture amount, temperature and flow rate of the drying air. Besides these parameters, the genus, geometry, size and quantity of the product also play an important role in dryer design and optimization.

To meet the needs of the growing world population in a short time, with the least amount of energy, very different theoretical and experimental studies have been carried out to determine dryness of the products, dry the food and develop drying techniques and its mechanizations.

In drying process, the first theoretical model for the heat and mass transfer of damp materials was proposed by Luikov in 1973.¹ Hawlader *et al.* determined drying characteristics of tomato by performing some experiments at various drying fluid velocities and at different atmospheric conditions.²
Çevik and Bilişli, investigating the artificial drying characteristics of nine types of plums in cabin dryers; they found Tuteu, Timpurii and Prune among slice-dried plum varieties and Krikon Damson and Giant among whole-dried plum varieties to be suitable for drying. Krokida et al. examined the effect of drying weather conditions (speed, temperature and humidity) and the product characteristic dimension on drying kinetic. The study was conducted at two different temperatures of the air (30°C and 70°C). It was found that the drying constants increased slightly by drying temperature, while the equilibrium moisture decreased with drying temperature.

Karaarslan dried the Japanese persimmon until its moisture content decreased down to 15% at 5 different power levels of 180, 360, 540, 720 and 900 W. It was observed that the fastest drying was at 900 W. Mehta et al. examined the effects of phytochemical, antioxidant and antibacterial activity dry plum (*Prunus domestica*) on health. They indicated that *Prunus domestica* was an energy source with low fat content with its protein and dietary fiber contents were 3.80% and 2.79%, respectively.

In the Black Sea Region of Turkey where the work is done, crops (fruit and vegetables) are harvested during a rainy harvest season. It is necessary to dry agricultural products with additional energy because of rains and excessive amount of air moisture. So, it is important to determine drying parameters according to agricultural crops and to determine technology that will enable drying of large quantities of crops in a short time with low energy cost. *Prunus domestica* L. fruits were used in this experimental study. The fruits used in the study were selected from naturally grown plums in the region.

In this study, three types of LED color temperatures were selected, which are modeled by drying on the sun and close to the solar color temperature. The fruits were dried at fixed lumen (10,000 lumen) using the LED’s of three different color temperatures (3000 K, 4000 K and 6500 K), along with traditional (sun drying) and conventional (using electrical heater) drying for comparison of drying methods.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In the drying cabinet with LED, the temperature was 30°C at the beginning of the drying, the inside temperature of the cabin increased during the drying and it was found that the cabin internal temperature remained constant at about 46°C for three different LEDs. In the drying carried out at three different LED color temperatures, the two-part seedless plums dried fast, but it did not seem to be a proper drying process in terms of both time and energy efficiency, due to the drying period of the whole plums was long.

As shown in Figure 3, the drying periods of LEDs with three different color temperatures (3000 K, 4000 K, 6500 K) for about 28% dry matter were 41%, 25%, 35% shorter than that of electric heater (T = 43°C constant) and 64%, 55% and 60.6% shorter than sun drying, respectively.

It was found that, LED drying with a color temperature of 3000 K (yellow color) was occurred in a lesser time (20%, 10%) those of LEDs with a color temperature of 4000 K (warm white colors) and 6500 K (cold white colors). In sun drying, some fluctuations were observed in the drying speed depending on day and night changes. The SSC of dried plum fruits in sun was significantly higher than those of other fruit samples (Table 1). As compared to fresh plum fruits, higher pH was observed.
in dried plum in oven and LED light for 3000 K. The
itratable acidity content of fresh plum fruits was lower
than that of dried plum fruits. Both LED and sun dried
plum fruits had significantly higher vitamin C content
than the fresh plum fruits and dried plum fruits in oven.
As shown in Table 2, the higher L* and a* values were
obtained from fresh plum fruits, whereas the higher b* and chroma values were determined from dried plum
in LED light for 4000 K The hue angle values of dried
plum fruits in LED were significantly higher than other
fruit samples.

Table 1: Effects of drying conditions on SSC, pH, ti-
tratable acidity and vitamin C of plum fruits (Prunus
domestica L.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>SSC (%)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Titratable acidity (g malic acid 100 g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Vitamin C (mg kg⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fresh plum</td>
<td>4.90 d*</td>
<td>3.76 b</td>
<td>0.39 d</td>
<td>48 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dried Plum in Sun</td>
<td>11.50 a</td>
<td>3.75 b</td>
<td>0.71 a</td>
<td>116 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dried Plum in Oven (Electrical heater)</td>
<td>7.30 c</td>
<td>4.70 a</td>
<td>0.51 b</td>
<td>53 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dried Plum in LED light for 3000 K</td>
<td>8.90 b</td>
<td>4.71 a</td>
<td>0.48 b</td>
<td>112 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dried Plum in LED light for 4000 K</td>
<td>9.30 b</td>
<td>3.78 b</td>
<td>0.47 b</td>
<td>115 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dried Plum in LED light for 6500 K</td>
<td>9.00 b</td>
<td>3.79 b</td>
<td>0.43 c</td>
<td>129 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: Means in columns with the same letter do not differ according to Tukey’s test at P<0.05.

Table 2: Effects of dry conditions on color characteristics (L*, chroma and hue angle) of plum
fruits (Prunus domestica L.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>L*</th>
<th>a*</th>
<th>b*</th>
<th>Chroma</th>
<th>Hue angle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fresh plum</td>
<td>32.42 a</td>
<td>13.48 a</td>
<td>8.55 c</td>
<td>16.11 b</td>
<td>31.86 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dried Plum in Sun</td>
<td>22.83 b</td>
<td>7.79 c</td>
<td>6.21 d</td>
<td>9.96 c</td>
<td>38.53 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dried Plum in Oven (Electrical heater)</td>
<td>19.19 c</td>
<td>8.75 c</td>
<td>8.14 c</td>
<td>10.95 c</td>
<td>40.93 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dried Plum in LED light for 3000 K</td>
<td>24.40 b</td>
<td>11.25 b</td>
<td>11.40 b</td>
<td>16.04 b</td>
<td>45.49 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dried Plum in LED light for 4000 K</td>
<td>24.21 b</td>
<td>13.34 a</td>
<td>14.10 a</td>
<td>19.51 a</td>
<td>46.43 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dried Plum in LED light for 6500 K</td>
<td>23.36 b</td>
<td>11.23 b</td>
<td>12.65 b</td>
<td>16.92 b</td>
<td>47.38 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: Means in columns with the same letter do not differ according to Tukey’s test at P<0.05.
CONCLUSION

In plum drying, drying systems with LEDs have been determined to be superior in terms of time and energy efficiency compared to electric heating systems and solar drying. For LED selection (in fixed lumen), yellow LED (3000K) is more efficient than white LED (4000 K and 6500 K) in terms of drying time. Drying as whole kernel was found to be unsuitable in three drying methods in terms of energy efficiency, drying time, and climatic conditions of our region. The higher L* and a* values were obtained from fresh plum fruits, whereas the higher b* and chroma values were determined from dried plum in LED light for 4000 K. The hue angle values of dried plum fruits in LED were significantly higher than other fruit samples.
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ABBREVIATIONS

SSC: Solids soluble content; L*: Lightness; a*: Redness; b*: Yellowness.
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SUMMARY

- The *Prunus domestica* L. fruit that grown naturally in the Black Sea Region was dried using LED technology in a short time with minimum energy and preserving food properties, along with traditional methods.
- Time-dependent drying curves of *Prunus domestica* L. at five different drying conditions and time-dependent changes of the dryer temperature with LED drying were obtained.
- The drying time with 3 different LED temperatures was found to be shorter than that of the drying time of sun drying and electric heater. It was concluded that, energy efficiency was better in LED drying than those of conventional methods.
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