Development and Validation of a Precise RP-HPLC Method to Determine Gentiopicroside Content in Cultures of *Gentiana kurroo* Royle
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ABSTRACT

**Background:** Gentiopicroside (GPD) is a major bioactive seco-iridoid glycoside in the methanolic extracts of roots and rhizomes of *Gentiana kurroo* Royle. GPD has anti-inflammatory, antidiabetic, analgesic, antinociceptive, antibacterial and free radical scavenging activities. Although this compound was analyzed by various methods in different *Gentiana* species previously, no valid method was documented describing the accuracy and precision for the detection and quantification of GPD from *in vitro* samples of *G. kurroo*.

**Materials and Methods:** A simple, accurate and highly sensitive reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatographic (RP-HPLC) method was developed and validated according to the International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) guidelines.

**Results:** Methanol and 0.1% acetic acid in millipore water [30:70, (v/v)] was used as a mobile phase and eluted at an isocratic flow rate of 1.0 ml/min under room temperature. The calibration curve was linear in the concentration range of 10-100 µg/ml with a correlation coefficient of 0.9967. The relative standard deviation (RSD) of repeatability and inter-day precision was less than 2%. The limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) of GPD was 0.083 µg/ml and 0.25 µg/ml, respectively. Recoveries from *in vitro* samples ranged from 91.0 to 114.0% and the precision of the method in terms of retention time (%RSD ≤ 2.01) and peak area (% RSD ≤ 5.11) were satisfactory.

**Conclusion:** The validated RP-HPLC-PDA method can be used routinely for the determination of GPD in *in vitro* cultures and *in vivo* plants of *G. kurroo*.
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INTRODUCTION

*Gentiana kurroo* Royle, generally referred to as the Indian or Himalayan Gentiana belongs to the family Gentianaceae and is included in the Indian medicinal codex.¹² It grows naturally in the high-altitude regions of the western and northwestern Himalaya mountains.³ The roots of *G. kurroo* have been reported to contain gentiopicroside (GPD).⁴ Previous reports revealed that GPD has antibacterial and free radical scavenging⁵ analgesic,⁶ anti-inflammatory,⁷ antinociceptive,⁸ and antidiabetic activities.⁹ It has also been used to treat acute jaundice and chronic active hepatitis.¹¹ Therefore, it is important to quantify the GPD levels in the species of *Gentiana* using highly sensitive assays such as high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC).

Previously, the HPLC–electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC/ESI-MS) was used to analyze GPD in *G. lutea*¹² and Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy was used for the determination of GPD in *G. rigescens* by selecting characteristic wavelengths along...
with HPLC. Also, Pan et al. used the LC-MS/MS and FT-IR to analyze the GPD in G. rigescens. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was used to investigate the GPD content in G. macrophylla, Centanrium erythra and C. turcicum. The method was also used to compare the relative content of GPD in four Gentiana species (G. macrophylla, G. straminea, G. dahuica and G. crassicaulis) and hairy root cultures of G. macrophylla, G. cruciata L. and G. scabra. GPD content was estimated using HPLC in G. straminea and in the roots, stems, leaves and flowers of G. rigescens. Although MS/MS and Q-TOF-MS are highly precise and sensitive compared to PDA detectors, they are expensive and operationally tedious. On the other hand, HPLC with PDA is simple and more cost-effective than MS and can be routinely used for quantitative estimation of large number of samples. The PDA detector enables the UV scanning of every separated molecules, and detects molecules with a wide range of UV absorption and provides the UV spectrum of all the peaks. In contrast, a single fixed wavelength is used in UV detectors. Moreover, it has higher separation and precision than TLC and HPTLC. Hence in the present study, HPLC was used for GPD estimation.

Previous studies used different solvents as mobile phases with various HPLC conditions to separate and quantify the GPD; there was no consistency in elution time. Although GPD was analyzed by different methods in Gentiana species, no validated method was documented describing precise separation with high peak purity for quantification of GPD in in vitro samples of G. kurroo. Therefore, this study is focused on liquid chromatography coupled with a Photodiode-Array (PDA) detector to establish and validate a simple method for quantitative analysis of the GPD in in vitro cultures and in vivo plants of G. kurroo.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant material**

Gentiana kurroo was collected from its natural habitat in Solan, Himachal Pradesh, during December 2018 and authenticated by Dr. R. Raina, Dr. Y. S Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Solan, Himachal Pradesh. Previously established in vitro grown shoots, adventitious roots and callus cultures were harvested and used for analysis in this study.

**Reagents and chemicals**

Analytical grade methanol (Merck, Mumbai, India) was used for sample preparation. HPLC grade methanol, formic acid, acetic acid and 0.25 µm nylon membranes were obtained from Merck (Bengaluru, India). The standard GPD (≥98% purity CID: 133550453) was purchased from Sigma -Aldrich (Mumbai, India). Milli-Q (Merck, Mumbai) purified water was practiced for the HPLC separation.

**Standards and plant sample preparation**

The authentic GPD stock solution of 1 mg/ml was prepared using HPLC grade methanol. Stock solutions of 10-100 µg/ml concentrations were prepared from the authentic stock solution. All the stock solutions were stored at −4°C. The in vitro plant samples, including callus, shoot and adventitious roots were harvested and washed thoroughly with water to remove the growth media and dried at 50°C for three days. In vivo grown rhizomes and shoots of G. kurroo were also washed with water to remove the soil particles. The well dried in vitro and in vivo samples were powdered using a mortar and pestle. The GPD was extracted according to Dang et al. with minor modifications. Exactly, 100 mg of fine powder was added to a 2 ml Eppendorf vial containing 1 ml of HPLC grade methanol and sonicated for 20 min at 65°C. The crude extract was centrifuged at 12000 rpm for 15 min to remove the plant debris. The extracted sample was filtered through 0.25 µm filters and directly used for HPLC analysis.

**Optimization of HPLC conditions**

HPLC tests were conducted on a Shimadzu-HPLC instrument (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan), fitted with a CBM-20A system, binary LC-20AP pump and SPD-M20A Photo Diode Array (PDA) detector. Chromatographic separation was achieved using Shim-pack GIST C18 column 250 × 4.6 mm and 5 µm particle size (Shimadzu). The solvent mixture consisting of 1% acetic acid in water-methanol at 70:30 (v/v) was used as mobile phase. The solvents were degassed using an ultrasonic sonicator bath (Sonica 2400 S3, Milan, Italy) and vacuum filtered through a 0.25 µm nylon membrane (Merck). Precisely, 10 µl of the sample was injected using a SII-20 AC HT Autosampler (Shimadzu). The isocratic elution was practiced at 1 ml/min flow to compare the GPD separation efficiency. The chromatogram peaks
were identified by matching RT and UV spectra of authentic GPD. The acquired data were analyzed with LC-Solution tools (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan).

**Method validation procedure**

Ten different concentrations ranging from 10 to 100 µg/ml were used for the linear calibration plot for standard GPD. The concentrations of the authentic GPD compound were diluted with HPLC grade methanol. Each concentration of the calibration solution was injected into HPLC using SIL-20AC HT autosampler in triplicates. The peak area was plotted against the respective compound concentration, indicating the relative concentrations, was used as a calibration curve. The slope, intercept and correlation coefficient were calculated from the calibration curve, which was used to evaluate the GPD concentration in the sample, LOD and LOQ according to ICH guidelines.  

**Method precision, specificity and recovery**

To determine the relative retrievals, the homogenized in vitro shoots were spiked with three different known concentrations (50, 100 and 200 µg/ml) of standard GPD. The peak area of the spiked concentrations with the in vitro shoot sample solutions were compared with the respective standard GPD concentration levels to determine the exact percentage of the recovery. The inter-day precision was estimated by injecting the known standard and crude extracts at three different times within a day (morning at 10.00 am, afternoon at 1.30 pm and evening at 6.00 pm). The intra-day precision was analyzed by injecting the same sample for three days under similar instrumental conditions. The precision of the method was specified in relative standard deviation (RSD%). The accuracy of the test was represented as the percentage of the compound concentration determined in the respective sample compared to the known quantity of the compound that spiked into the sample.

**Method sensitivity test**

The Limit of Detection (LOD approx.) and the limit of quantification (LOQ approx.) were estimated from the standard calibration curve using the following equations:

LOD = 3.3 σ/S

LOQ = 10 σ/S

Where σ – represents the standard deviation of the intercept

S – represents the slope of the calibration curve

**Robustness**

The analytical HPLC was performed at different chromatographic conditions to determine the robustness of this analytical method. The peak area was recorded at different λ<sub>max</sub> (wavelength) ranging from 245 to 275 nm and the mobile phase flow was tested from 0.5 ml/min to 1.2 ml/min. The standard GPD (10 µg/ml) was injected in triplicate and the response was represented as % RSD.

**Statistical analysis**

Three replicates were performed for each parameter and the mean value of each experiment was calculated. All other statistical analyses were carried out using Microsoft Excel 2010.

**RESULTS**

**Optimization of analytical chromatographic conditions**

Several isocratic methods with different ratios of methanol and water mixtures, and with various flow rates were done to attain the ideal chromatographic conditions for the separation of GPD from the crude extract of <i>G. kurroo</i>. The finest separation with a relatively decent peak was achieved with the mobile phase containing a mixture of methanol - 1% acetic acid in water with the ratio of 30:70 (v/v) at the flow rate of 1 ml/min (Figure 1d). The parameters tested for system suitability were listed in Table 1. Under these optimized settings, the standard GPD was also injected (Figure 2); it was eluted at 9.7 ± 0.19 min with a % RSD of 2.01.

The complete elution of <i>G. kurroo</i> in vitro cultures and in vivo plant crude extracts with good separation of GPD was attained with 15 min run time under the above-described system conditions. The peak of the GPD that separated at 9.7 ± 0.19 min was identified by comparing it to the RT and the UV spectrum of the standard (Figure 3). The lambda max for GPD was found to

![Figure 1: Efficiency of different mobile phase combination on separation of GPD from crude extract: a- MeOH -1% Acetic acid water (40:60) 0.8 ml/min. b- MeOH -1% Acetic acid water (40:60) 1 ml/min, c- MeOH -1% Acetic acid water (30:70) 0.8 ml/min, d- MeOH -1% Acetic acid water (30:70) 1 ml/min.](image-url)
Method validation

The analytical HPLC method developed in this study was validated to meet the International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) guidelines (ICH Q2A 1994; ICH Q2B 1996). The developed HPLC conditions were examined for linearity, LOD, LOQ, accuracy, precision and peak purity. The validation parameters are represented in Table 2.

Linearity and Sensitivity

Better linearity was obtained between the peak area and different concentrations of GPD tested in the range of 10 to 100 µg/ml (Figure 5). The calculated coefficient of regression of the calibration curve for GPD was
greater than 0.998, which confirmed the linearity of the developed analytical method. The linear regression parameters of standard GPD are presented in Table 2. The calculated LOD and LOQ of GPD were found to be 0.083 µg/ml and 0.25 µg/ml, respectively. These findings suggest that this analytical method has a high degree of sensitivity.

**Precision and accuracy**

The repeatability, precision and accuracy of the standardized method for GPD are presented in Table 2. The repeated injection of 5 different concentrations of the standard was 99.78 ± 0.42. The inter-day and intra-day precision of the standard GPD was 99.33 ± 0.56 and 99.33 ± 0.56, respectively and for the *in vitro* shoot extract 99.35 ± 0.59 and 98.97 ± 0.84, respectively. The accuracy of the established analytical method was identified by the addition of a known standard to the crude extract. The % RSD of recovery was 95% (95 to 110%). The RP-HPLC procedure developed for GPD was found to be accurate and precise, as exhibited by the percentages of recovery tests close to 100 and % RSD not more than 4.

**Quantification of plant extract**

The different *in vitro* cultures and field plant methanolic extracts of *G. kurroo* were directly loaded into the HPLC system and the separation process was carried out at room temperature under the ideal chromatographic settings as described in the materials and methods section. The representative chromatogram (Figure 4a) shows the GPD peak at RT 9.73 ±1 min, along with a few unidentified peaks. The GPD was separated from the crude extract efficiently and no overlapping peaks were observed with the GPD peak under this optimized method. Hence, the accuracy and validity of this developed method of analysis were not interfered with by the presence of unidentified peaks. The purity of the GPD peak separated in HPLC was compared with the authentic standard compound’s UV-spectra and standard graph (Figure 5). The concentration of GPD in the different *G. kurroo* samples was quantitated using the above described analytical HPLC method (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

The HPLC method-based determination of GPD was reported previously by a few workers in gentian plants. However, to date, no single simple method was established and validated for the quantification of this compound in *G. kurroo*. In this study, we used different mobile phase ratios and flow rates for optimum separation of GPD from different plant material types of *G. kurroo* to rapidly and accurately quantify the GPD concentration. The mobile phase comprised of methanol and 1% acetic acid in water (40:60 v/v) at 0.8 and 1 ml/min flow rate, eluted the GPD at 9.8 and 5.56 min, respectively; however, the peak was closely merged with an unidentified peak (Figure 1a and b). The elution time of the GPD moved when the flow rate was reduced to 0.8 ml/min, but no peak resolution was observed. In different trials, increasing the methanol ratio reduced the RT and resolution of the GPD separation from the crude extract. The finest separation with a good peak shape was achieved within 15 min when the crude extract was eluted with methanol and 1% acetic acid in water (30:70 v/v) at 1 ml/min flow rate. R of 2.5 min was reported for the separation of GPD from *G. straminea* with a similar mobile phase. In this study, the R was observed at 9.73 min when 1% acetic acid was added to the mobile phase. The highest peak purity (purity index 1.0) with reasonable resolution and less tailing factor (Figure 3) was achieved with this mobile phase. The HPLC system suitability parameters also supported the acceptable chromatogram property.

| Table 3: Quantitative estimation of GPD in *G. kurroo* samples |
|---------|-----------------|------------------|
| S. No. | Sample type     | *Quantity of GPD (mg/g DW) |
| 1      | Field shoot     | 1.77 ± 0.41       |
| 2      | Field root      | 40.93 ± 1.85      |
| 3      | Green House shoot | 2.27 ± 0.80     |
| 4      | *In vitro* shoot | 8.48 ± 0.99      |
| 5      | *In vitro* root | 1.84 ± 0.34       |
| 6      | Callus          | 1.57 ± 0.48       |
| 7      | Suspension cells | 1.80 ± 0.72       |

*Mean of three replicates
with methanol: 1% acetic acid in water (30:70) at 1 ml/min (Table 1).

The above validated method was adopted for quantitative analysis of callus, suspension cells, adventitious roots, and wild plant shoot and rhizomes. The results showed varying concentrations of GPD (Table 3). Among the samples analyzed, the wild rhizomes showed the highest concentration of GPD (40.93 mg/g DW) followed by in vitro shoots (8.48). The high GPD levels in the in vitro plant's roots were perhaps due to the accumulation of GPD over many years. A similar result was reported in G. rigescens in which the concentration of GPD varied greatly among the different plant parts with the highest amount in the roots. The geographical locations of the plants also influenced the GPD accumulation.13,28

In addition, the biosynthesis of GPD was also organ specific. The expression of genes involved in the GPD synthesis was higher in leaves,20 and this may be the possible reason for the higher content of GPD in in vitro shoots.

This study demonstrated high specificity at 273 nm for GPD detection and high consistency in GPD quantification. The added advantages of this analytical procedure are rapid extraction, isocratic elution, the short run time within 15 min with no need for equilibration process between two consecutive elution and simple sample preparation.

CONCLUSION

HPLC analysis combined with a PDA detector was shown to be an efficient analytical procedure for the separation and quantitation of GPD in G. kurroo. The method was validated and showed that it is very basic, highly sensitive, reproducible and accurate. The detection limits are excellent for this compound. The extraction process of GPD can be applied to almost any plant tissue of G. kurroo. In addition, the chromatographic separation was carried out under isocratic conditions and did not require re-balancing between two successive HPLC runs.
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