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**ABSTRACT**

**Background:** Captopril is considered as the drug of choice in the treatment of hypertension and congestive heart failure. It has a very short duration of action and a biological half-life of 1-2 hr. This requires its administration in 2-3 times daily which is not convenient for the management of a chronic disease like hypertension. **Objectives:** Captopril is unstable at the alkaline pH of the gastrointestinal tract. Therefore, it is difficult to be delivered orally in a sustained release formulation. This defect can be overcome by using bioadhesive or floating delivery systems which could increase the residence time of the drug in the stomach. **Methods:** Different formulations of bioadhesive chitosan microspheres containing captopril were prepared using the cross-linking method. Characterization of these microspheres was performed by measuring percent yield, particle size, swelling and bioadhesive properties, drug content and *in-vitro* release of captopril. **Results:** Chitosan microspheres prepared from 2% high molecular weight chitosan in acetic acid with 5ml glutaraldehyde and a cross-linking time of 3 hr gave the highest yield percent (83%), the highest entrapment efficiency (55%) and sustained release over 8 hr, compared with other examined formulations of chitosan. The release kinetics from all the prepared microspheres were diffusion-controlled mechanism and the drug release from microspheres was dependent on its concentration. **Conclusion:** In conclusion, chitosan microspheres with their particle size and release behavior seem to be a good carrier for captopril. **Key words:** Chitosan, Microspheres, Bioadhesion, Captopril, *In-vitro* release.

**INTRODUCTION**

Captopril is the first orally active angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors and still the gold standard in the world of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibition. It has a very short duration of action. Therefore, its clinical efficacy in treatment of hypertension is achieved only through two- or three-times daily administration.¹,² On the other hand, previous studies have shown that, the degradation of captopril takes place in the alkaline regions of the Gastrointestinal Tract (GIT).³ Therefore, it is difficult to be delivered orally in a sustained release formulation which requires drugs showing no difference in absorption during the passage through the gastrointestinal tract. This defect can be overcome by increasing the residence time of the drug delivery system in the stomach. Among the methods that could be employed to prolong gastric emptying time and improve drug bioavailability is the use of bioadhesive and floating systems.⁴ Several trials have been developed to increase the residence time of captopril in the stomach. Nur and Zhang prepared captopril floating and / or bioadhesive tablets using HPMC 4000 with carbopol 934p.⁵ However, all these trials produced single-unit systems which showed fluctuating bioavailability due to variation in gastric emptying time.
To overcome this problem, multiple unit microparticulate systems (microspheres, microbeads, granules or pellets) have been developed. Such microparticulate or multiple-unit dosage forms can disperse as individual units in the stomach and so become widely distributed in the gastric medium. For this reason, they have a longer reproducible gastric residence time and less absorption variability than single unit systems. In addition, these microparticulate systems when administered orally, may be able to penetrate the deep mucous layer and prolong the residence time at the mucin-epithelial cell surface, thereby, improving the oral absorption of drugs and reducing its exposure to enzymatic digestion. Thus, it may be possible to design a once daily dose to decrease the frequency of administration of the drug and improve patient compliance.

Microspheres are defined as homogenous, monolithic particles in the size range of about 0.1-1000 µm and are widely used as drug carriers for controlled release. These microspheres have a significant importance in the biomedical application. Administration of a drug in the form of microspheres usually improves treatment by localizing the active substance at the site of action and prolonging the drug release. Furthermore, sensitive drugs such as peptides and proteins may be protected against chemical and enzymatic degradation when entrapped in microspheres. When preparing controlled release microspheres, the choice of the optimal method is of great importance for efficient entrapment of the active substance.

Chitosan is a naturally occurring biopolymer made up of β(1,4) – linked glucosamine units that is produced by alkaline deacetylation of chitin extracted from shells of crabs, shrimps and krills. Since chitosan exhibits favorable biological properties such as nontoxicity, biocompatibility, and biodegradability, it has attracted great attention in the pharmaceutical and biomedical fields. Pharmaceutically, chitosan is used in controlled drug delivery systems, mucoadhesive dosage form, improved peptide delivery and gene delivery. The aim of this work was to formulate chitosan microspheres containing captopril as a bioadhesive delivery system. In addition, the effect of different process variables on the properties of chitosan microspheres and on in-vitro drug release was investigated.

**Materials and Methods**

**Materials**

Captopril was supplied from Bristol Myers Squibb Egypt, Chitosan (high molecular weight 600,000 with viscosity of 400 cps and low molecular weight 70,000 with viscosity 100 cps), span 85, glutaraldehyde, acetic, lactic, propionic, citric and formic acids, methanol and n-hexane were purchased from Sigma Chemical company (ST. Louis, M.O, USA).

**Preparation of chitosan microspheres containing captopril:**

Chitosan microspheres were prepared by the cross-linking method described before. 200 mg of chitosan (2% w/v) were dissolved in 10 ml of 5% aqueous acid solution. 100mg of captopril (1% w/v) were added to chitosan solution. Then drug/Chitosan solution was poured into 75 ml of sunflower oil containing 1.5ml of span 85 (2% v/v) as an emulsifier at room temperature. The suspension was emulsified by stirring at 700 rpm for 15 min using mechanical stirrer (Ika, Labor Technik, RW 20, Germany). The formed droplets were solidified by adding 5 ml glutaraldehyde (cross-linking agent) at three intervals each 30 min with continuous stirring for 3 hr. At the end, the microspheres suspension was filtered, washed three times with n-hexane and dried in an oven at 40°C for 24 hr. The different formulation variables of the cross-linked chitosan microspheres containing captopril are illustrated in Table 1.

**Determination of the yield percent**

The prepared microspheres were collected and weighed. The percent yield was determined by dividing the weight of the collected microspheres by the weight of the total amount of all the components used in the preparation of the microspheres.

**Particle size analysis**

Particle size was determined by the sieve analysis method. Microspheres were shaken mechanically on a set of standard sieves with the following descending mesh sizes 800, 710, 560, 450, 224, 150 and 63 µm for 15 min using analytical sieve shaker (Retsch, AS 200 Germany). Each fraction remaining on the sieve was weighed and the mean microspheres particle size as well as the highest particle size distribution were determined.

**Determination of entrapment efficiency**

The percentage drug content for each microsphere was determined by suspending 10 mg of the microspheres in 20 ml methanol at room temperature for 24 hr for complete extraction of entrapped drug from the microspheres. The suspension was centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 5 min (Hermle, Z 200 A, Germany). 1 ml of the supernatant solution was diluted with 4ml of 0.05M sodium hydroxide and its absorbance determined spectrophotometrically at 238nm (Perkin Elmer, type...
Lambda EZ 201, USA). The percentage of captopril entrapped within the microspheres can be calculated by applying the following equation:

\[
EE \% = \frac{\text{Actual of captopril in 10 mg of the microspheres}}{\text{Theoretical amount of captopril in 10 mg of the microspheres}} \times 100
\]

**In-vitro release studies of captopril from microspheres**

Release of captopril from microspheres was carried out using USP rotating paddle method at a stirring rate 50 rpm (Hanson Research CA, USA). 50 mg of microspheres were suspended in 200 ml 0.1N hydrochloric acid as a dissolution medium maintained at 37°C ± 0.1. At specified time intervals, 1ml sample was withdrawn and immediately replaced with equal volume of fresh medium. The samples were filtered through 0.45 μm Millipore filter and then diluted with 4 ml of 0.05M sodium hydroxide and allowed to set aside for 10 min. The samples were assayed spectrophotometrically at 238nm against a blank solution. The dissolution of each type of the prepared microspheres was carried out at three replicates against blank microspheres at the same time.

**Kinetics evaluation of the release data**

To investigate the possible mechanism of captopril release from the prepared microspheres, the release data was analyzed mathematically according to: Zero-order, First–order and Higuchi’s equations using the equations that govern these models:22-24

- **Zero-order release kinetics:** \( (Q_o - Q) = f(t) \)
- **First-order release kinetics:** \( \ln (Q_o - Q) = f(t) \)
- **Higuchi-diffusion equation:** \( (Q_o - Q) = f(\sqrt{t}); \frac{dQ}{dt} = f(1/Q) \)

Where:

- \( Q_o \rightarrow \) is the original amount (%) of drug present in the tested samples
- \( Q \rightarrow \) is the amount (%) of drug released
- \( t \rightarrow \) is the time

**Swelling properties**

100 mg of each type of microspheres was suspended in 10 ml of 0.1N hydrochloric acid in a graduated measuring cylinder. The microspheres were allowed to hydrate for 1, 4, 8 and 24 hr. Then the swelling volume was recorded and compared. The swelling volume was the water intake corresponding to 1 gm of microspheres powder.25

**In-vitro determination of bioadhesive properties of the microspheres**

Microspheres were tested for bioadhesive properties using the previously described method.26 50 mg of microspheres were suspended in water. A segment of 3 cm from a rabbit stomach was cut and washed with saline to remove any debris. The suspension was poured drop wise on the mucosal side (mucous membrane) of a freshly excised rabbit stomach. The stomach segment with the microspheres was placed in a dessicator for 30 min to allow the hydration of microspheres. The mucosal layer bearing the adhered microspheres was washed with 0.1 N HCL. The drug content in the collected washings was determined and the ratio of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Chitosan Mol.Wt.</th>
<th>Chitosan concentration: (% w/v)</th>
<th>Amount of glutaraldehyde (ml)</th>
<th>Time of cross-linking (hrs)</th>
<th>Acid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Acetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Acetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Acetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Acetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Acetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Acetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Acetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lactic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Propionic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Citric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Formic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the adhered to applied microspheres was calculated as percent adhesion.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Yield percent

Figure 1 shows that all prepared microspheres gave a percent yield in the range between 70 and 83%. It was found that the use of high molecular weight chitosan (C₁) in microsphere preparation gave a higher percent yield (83%) than low molecular weight chitosan (C₂) which gave a percent yield of 75%. The microspheres prepared with different concentrations of chitosan 1% (C₃), 1.5% (C₄) and 2% (C₅) showed different percent yields 76, 81 and 83% respectively. As the molecular weight and concentration of chitosan increased, the viscosity of the matrix solution also increased which in turn increased the yield values and decreased the component loss during preparation.²⁷

The results also illustrated that by increasing the volume of the cross-linking agent, glutaraldehyde from 1ml (C₆), 3ml (C₇) to 5ml (C₈) the percent yields increased from 70 and 78 to 83% respectively. Furthermore, by increasing the cross-linking time from 1.5 hrs (C₉) to 3hr (C₁₀) the percent yield was increased from 79 to 83%. This effect may be referred to the increase in cross-linking between chitosan molecules which decreased the loss of the drug and chitosan during the preparation process.²⁸

As shown in Figure 1 the use of different acids as solvents for chitosan also affected the percent yield. Acetic acid (C₃) showed the highest percent yield (83%), while citric acid (C₁₀) showed the lowest percent yield (74%). This was referred to the high viscosity of chitosan in acetic acid solution as previously reported²⁷,²⁹,³⁰ where it was found that 1% chitosan in 1% acetic acid gave a viscosity of 260 cps while 1% chitosan in 1% citric acid gave a viscosity of 35 cps.

In conclusion, the percent yield reported in all formulae was found to be dependent on the concentration of chitosan, its molecular weight, cross-linking amount, cross-linking time and type of acid used.

Particle size analysis

Analysis of the Particle size of the prepared microspheres showed that the size of chitosan microspheres was mainly affected by the viscosity of its solution. As seen in Figure 2, chitosan of high molecular weight (C₁) produced larger microspheres (531µm) than chitosan of low molecular weight C₂ (364µm). The increase in concentration of chitosan from 1% (C₃), 1.5% (C₄) to 2% w/v (C₅) resulted in an increase in particle size from 336, 409 to 531µm respectively. This could be referred to the higher viscosity of the chitosan solutions obtained by using chitosan of high molecular weight and high concentration. These results were in agreement with previously reported data³¹ stating that particle size of chitosan microspheres is strongly dependent on its molecular weight and concentration.

Figure 3 shows the sieve size, which contained the highest amount of microspheres (highest frequency distribution µm). The figure shows that, the majority of the microspheres prepared using different amounts of cross-linking agent (C₁, C₅, C₆) had the same particle size (560 µm). Furthermore, the highest frequency distribution of formulae C₇ and C₈ prepared with different cross-linking time, was also the same. These
results could be attributed to the constant viscosity of chitosan solutions prepared with different cross-linking amounts and time. On the other hand, a larger particle size (560 μm) was produced when chitosan was dissolved in acetic acid (C_1) than when dissolved in lactic acid (C_8), propionic acid (C_9), citric acid (C_10) and formic acid (C_11) as illustrated in Figure 3. As mentioned before the viscosity of chitosan solution in acetic acid was higher than that of other acids used. This was explained previously where it was found that 1% chitosan in 1% acetic, lactic, propionic, citric and formic acid gave viscosity 260, 235, 195, 35 and 240 cps respectively.

**Incorporation efficiency**

All prepared chitosan microspheres produced a low percentage incorporation efficiency that did not exceed 55% as seen in Figure 4. Thanoo and his coworkers suggested that the low incorporation efficiency of a highly water-soluble drug is due to the rapid loss of the drug during the process of preparation. By comparing the difference in the molecular weight of chitosan dissolved in acetic acid, it was found that high molecular weight chitosan (C_1) gave higher entrapment efficiency (55%) compared to low molecular weight chitosan (C_2) (37%).

When lactic acid (C_8), propionic acid (C_9), citric acid (C_10) and formic acid (C_11) were used instead of acetic acid, the percentage entrapment efficiency decreased from 55 to 50, 48, 47 and 51% respectively. It was previously reported that the higher entrapment efficiency observed with high molecular weight chitosan dissolved in acetic acid is related to its higher viscosity which decreased the diffusion of the drug outside the microspheres.

It was also noticed that increasing the concentration of chitosan in acetic acid from 1% (C_1), 1.5% (C_4) to 2% w/v (C_1) resulted in an increase in entrapment efficiency of captopril from 46.5, 49 to 55% respectively. This is probably due to the increased viscosity of chitosan solution prepared with a concentration of 2% w/v. These results were in accordance with earlier reports made by Thanoo and Akbuga.

From Figure 4, it could be observed that by increasing the amount of cross-linking agent from 1 ml (C_5), 3 ml (C_6) to 5 ml (C_10), percentage entrapment efficiency increased from 35, 48.7 to 55% respectively. Furthermore, increasing the cross-linking time from 1.5 hr (C_7) to 3 hrs (C_1) slightly improves percentage entrapment efficiency from 50.8 to 55%. The above-mentioned results were attributed to the increase of the cross-linking between chitosan molecules which decreased drug loss during the preparation process. These results showed that the entrapment efficiency in all formulae was dependent on all the tested variables.

**In-vitro release of captopril from chitosan microspheres**

Figure 5 illustrates the effect of different formulation variables on the percentage of drug released in 0.1 N HCL. It was found that drug release from all the prepared chitosan microspheres was characterized by an initial rapid release of the drug (burst effect), followed by a slower release of the remaining drug. The higher initial percentage of drug released from chitosan microspheres may be related to the location of some drugs within the pores and surface of the prepared microspheres which were rapidly released upon contact with the release medium. The slower
release rate might be attributed to the localization of
the remaining drug within the polymer matrix. These
results were in agreement with similar studies made by
Denkbas\textsuperscript{28} who reported that almost 50% of the loaded
5-flurouracil was released from the microspheres in the
first hour. In our study about 43% was released at the
same time ($C_1$).

The results also showed that the increase in the molecular
weight of chitosan obviously decreased the percentage
of drug release. Formula $C_1$ (high molecular weight)
and $C_2$ (low molecular weight) gave an initial percentage
of drug release of 25 and 43% respectively after 15 min.
It could also be observed that the percentage of drug
released after one hour was about 43 and 71% of the
total drug loaded into the microspheres of formula $C_1$
and formula $C_2$ respectively.

Formula $C_2$ showed complete drug release after 4 hr
(90%) while the same percentage was obtained with
formula $C_2$, after 8 hr. Accordingly, the rate of drug
release from the microspheres prepared with high
molecular weight chitosan was slow in comparison
with that prepared with low molecular weight chitosan
(Figure 5a). This may be attributed to the higher
viscosity of the gel layer of the polymer formed around
the drug particles in contact with the dissolution
medium.\textsuperscript{31} Additionally, the slow release rate observed
with formula $C_1$ compared with formula $C_2$ could be
attributed to the larger particle size of $C_1$ prepared with
high molecular weight chitosan (531 µm) in contrast
to $C_2$, prepared with low molecular weight chitosan
(364 µm). The larger particle size decreased the surface
area available for dissolution and so decreased the
release rate.

Figure 5b shows the effect of the different concentrations
of chitosan on the percentage drug released from the
prepared microspheres. The percentage of drug released
after 15min from chitosan microspheres prepared with
1% ($C_3$), 1.5% ($C_4$) and 2% w/v ($C_1$) chitosan in ace-
tic acid were 35, 30 and 25% respectively and increased
to 49, 46 and 42% after one hour. The microspheres
prepared with 1% and 1.5% chitosan showed complete
release (about 90%) of the loaded drug after 6 hr, while
the microspheres prepared with 2% chitosan gave
complete release (90%) after 8 hr.

Rapid drug release was obtained with low concentra-
tions of chitosan which could be related to the lower
viscosity of chitosan solution formed around drug
particles.\textsuperscript{36}

The amount of cross-linking agent (glutaraldehyde)
played an important role in the release of the drug from
chitosan microspheres. It was observed that when the
amount of cross-linking agent increased from 1ml ($C_5$),
3ml ($C_6$) to 5ml ($C_1$) the percentage of drug released
decreased from 38 and 33 to 25% after 15min and
from 53 and 47 to 43% after one hour respectively (Figure 5c). Furthermore, the microspheres prepared with 1ml and 3ml glutaraldehyde gave an almost complete release of about 90% of the loaded drug after 6 hr, however, the microspheres prepared with 5ml glutaraldehyde gave the same percentage of drug released after 8 hr as shown in Figure 5c.

The percentage drug released from chitosan microspheres prepared after a cross-linking time of 1.5hr (C\(_7\)) showed rapid release in comparison with the microspheres prepared after a cross-linking time of 3hrs (C\(_1\)) as shown in Figure 5d. This figure illustrates that 32% of the loaded drug was released after 15 min in case of formula (C\(_7\)) and about 50% was released in the first hour. Complete release (90%) was obtained after 6 hr (Figure 5d). It was obvious that drug release rate decreased with an increase in the amount and time of cross-linking agent. These results are similar to previous studies\(^{31}\) stating that the porosity of chitosan microspheres containing phenobarbitone decreased by increasing the amount and time of cross linking agent.

The effect of using different acids as a solvent for chitosan polymer (C\(_8\), C\(_9\), C\(_10\), C\(_11\)) instead of acetic acid (C\(_1\)) on the percentage drug released from the prepared microspheres is illustrated in Figure 5e. The microspheres prepared with lactic acid (C\(_8\)), propionic acid (C\(_9\)), citric acid (C\(_10\)) and formic acid (C\(_11\)) released about 50% of the loaded drug in the first hour and showed complete release after 6 hr, while the microspheres prepared using acetic acid released about 50% of the loaded drug after 2 hr and gave complete release (90%) after 8 hr (Figure 5e).

The decrease in the drug release rate in case of chitosan dissolved in acetic acid compared with chitosan dissolved in other acids could be due to the higher viscosity of chitosan solution in acetic acid as previously explained.\(^{27}\) In addition, the decrease in the release rate observed with chitosan dissolved in acetic acid could be attributed to its larger particle size (531µm) in comparison with other acids.

### Kinetics of captopril release from chitosan microspheres

Table 2 illustrates the linear correlation coefficients obtained for the three models tested (zero order, first order and Higuchi model). Highest correlation coefficients were found to fit well with Higuchi model (r\(^*\) = 0.999 ± 0.001). The linearity was also obtained when dQ/dt was plotted against 1/Q. However, when dQ/dt was plotted versus Q, linearity was not obtained. This indicated that the release kinetics from microspheres was a near diffusion-controlled mechanism and the drug release from microspheres was dependent on its concentration.

### Swelling properties

The swelling volume of the prepared chitosan microspheres after 1, 4, 8 and 24 hr is illustrated in Figure 6. The microspheres prepared with high molecular weight chitosan (C\(_1\)) had a higher swelling volume (4 ml) than the microspheres prepared with low molecular weight chitosan (C\(_2\)) whose swelling volume was 2 ml after 24 hr. Microspheres prepared with 2% chitosan (C\(_3\)) showed a swelling volume of 4ml after 24 hr which decreased to 3 ml and 2 ml for the microspheres

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Mechanism of captopril release from the prepared chitosan microspheres.</th>
<th>Zero-order (r(^*))</th>
<th>First-order (r(^*))</th>
<th>Diffusion mechanism (r(^*))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formulae</td>
<td>% released vs.time</td>
<td>Log % remained vs. time</td>
<td>dQ/dt vs.Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>0.972 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.946 ± 0.006</td>
<td>0.913 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.874 ± 0.007</td>
<td>0.851 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.923 ± 0.006</td>
<td>0.892 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>0.959 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.935 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.915 ± 0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.924 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.884 ± 0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>0.964 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.937 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.912 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7</td>
<td>0.967 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8</td>
<td>0.954 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.925 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9</td>
<td>0.945 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.913 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.884 ± 0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10</td>
<td>0.948 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.007</td>
<td>0.892 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11</td>
<td>0.939 ± 0.006</td>
<td>0.915 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

r\(^*\) correlation coefficient
prepared with 1.5% (C₄) and 1% (C₃) chitosan respectively. The results showed that the microspheres prepared with acetic acid (C₁) exhibited a swelling volume of 4 ml after 24 hr, while, the microspheres prepared with lactic acid (C₆), propionic acid (C₇), citric acid (C₉) and formic acid (C₈), showed a swelling volume of 3, 2, 3ml and 4ml after 24 hr respectively. All these results might due to the increase in porosity of the chitosan microspheres prepared with 2% high molecular weight chitosan in acetic acid. This increase in porosity resulted in an increased water intake by the microspheres and consequently increased the swelling volume. By increasing the cross-linking amount from 1 ml (C₅), 3 ml (C₆) to 5 ml (C₉) the swelling volume decreased from 6 ml and 5 ml to 4 ml respectively. Furthermore, the increase in cross-linking time from 1.5 hr (C₇) to 3 hr (C₉) decreased the swelling volume from 5 ml to 4 ml after 24 hr. The decreased swelling volume resulting from increased cross-linking agent and cross-linking time might also be referred to the increase in cross-linking between the chitosan molecules which in turn decreased the swellability of the microspheres. These results are in agreement with previously reported studies.³⁷,³⁸

**In-vitro determination of Bioadhesive properties of chitosan microspheres**

The bioadhesive values of chitosan microspheres are illustrated in Figure 7. As seen in this figure formula (C₅) of chitosan microsphere showed the highest bioadhesive percent (78%) while the lowest bioadhesive percent (55%) was observed for formula C₂. As reported before, two important factors affect the bioadhesive properties of chitosan microspheres, the swelling volume and the amount of glutaraldehyde used in the preparation of microspheres.³⁹,⁴⁰ Accordingly, formula C₅ showed the highest bioadhesive percent since the highest swelling volume was observed for this formula. This high swelling volume facilitated interpenetration of mucus and made bioadhesion stronger. Additionally, formula C₅ was prepared with the lowest amount of glutaraldehyde and consequently, the cross-linking between chitosan molecules was weak which increased the bioadhesion property of this formula. Furthermore, it was previously reported that glutaraldehyde reduces the affinity of chitosan polymer for mucin and depresses its mucoadhesive properties.⁴⁰ Thus, decreasing the amount of glutaraldehyde employed leads to increase the bioadhesion property of this formula.

**CONCLUSION**

Chitosan microspheres prepared with 2% high molecular weight chitosan in acetic acid with 5 ml glutaraldehyde and a cross-linking time 3 hr (formula C₉) gave the highest yield percent (83%), largest particle size (531µm) and sustained release over 8 hr. Although decreasing the cross-linking time and amount of cross-linking agent showed excellent bioadhesive properties, the drug release was very rapid. Accordingly, formula C₁ which gave the slowest release rate and the highest entrapment efficiency could be considered as a promising carrier for captopril for further clinical investigations.
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