Formulation and Development of Fixed Dose Combination of Antihypertensive and Antidiabetic Agent for treatment of Co-existent Type Two Diabetes Mellitus and Hypertension

Nisharani Ranpise*, Preeti Jamkar, Harshada Langote
Sinhgad College of Pharmacy, Vadgaon (Bk.), Pune-411041 Maharashtra, India

ABSTRACT

Objective: Coexistent Type Two Diabetes Mellitus (TTDM) and hypertension exposes patients to severe co-morbidities. Complex polypharmacy suggested by international organizations leads to the increased pill burden and decreased patient compliance which leads to worsening of conditions. Materials and Methods: Present research aims at the formulation and development of fixed dose combination (FDC) for treatment of coexistent hypertension and TTDM as it will help in increasing patient compliance. Literature survey and patient survey facilitated the selection of metformin HCl, telmisartan, atorvastatin and aspirin for the formulation of FDC. Formulation and development of enteric coated aspirin tablet and the bilayer tablet of metformin HCl, telmisartan & atorvastatin calcium were two major parts of this research. Aspirin was enteric coated by compression coating technique to avoid gastric irritation. Bilayer tablet separating metformin HCl layer from the layer of a mixture of telmisartan and atorvastatin calcium was prepared to avoid the physical incompatibility problem between metformin HCl and telmisartan. The final FDC formulation was prepared by encapsulating, enteric coated aspirin tablet and bilayer tablet in a size 00 capsule and subjected to accelerated stability studies. Results: Batch F2 of bilayer tablet containing one layer of metformin HCl and the other layer having a mixture of telmisartan & atorvastatin calcium releases more than 80% of metformin HCl, telmisartan and atorvastatin calcium within 1 hour. Batch A2E of enteric coated aspirin tablet was found to show delayed and complete drug release as compared to A2D within 3.5 hours. Similarity factor f2 was found to be 69.33. After long term storage of formulation at 40°C/75% RH, stability of drug components remained unaffected. Conclusion: FDC of antihypertensive and antidiabetic agent will be revolutionary in the treatment of coexistent TTDM and hypertension as it will be a cheaper alternative to current therapy. Key words: Coexistent type two diabetes mellitus and hypertension, Treatment for coexistent type two diabetes mellitus and hypertension, Fixed Dose Combination of Antihypertensive and Antidiabetic Agent, Fixed dose combination.

INTRODUCTION

A deadly combination of coexistent Type Two Diabetes Mellitus and hypertension is influencing the world very rapidly. In developed countries 60–65% prevalence is seen in the twin epidemic of this ‘deadly combination’. Rarely T2DM and hypertension are seen isolated nowadays. This is because diabetic patients are two times more predisposed to have hypertension. In T2DM patients nephropathy and insulin sensitivity (resistance) are the major factors which contribute significantly and independently in the genesis of hypertension. Raised insulin levels in diabetic patients promote sodium retention and along with the sodium glucose also gets reabsorbed. This sodium and fluid retention generates hypervolemia and...
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hypertension.\textsuperscript{2} Also, as a consequence of various biochemical phenomena occurring in diabetic individuals such as an increase in the activity of liver lipase leading to lipid abnormalities like increase in VLDL levels, reduction in HDL cholesterol, hypertriglyceridaemia, generation of dense and short LDL particles, hypertension may appear in diabetic individuals.\textsuperscript{3} People 20\% or more with hypertension suffer from diabetes, and 80\% or more with diabetes may develop hypertension.

T2DM and hypertension co-existence exposes patients to severe co-morbidities like microangiopathy (renal, retinal and neural), macroangiopathy (atherosclerosis) and lower limb amputations.\textsuperscript{4,9} So it becomes necessary to treat coexistent T2DM and hypertension in time with an appropriate drug regimen. The American Diabetes Association Recommends the use of metformin (if tolerated and not contraindicated), ACEI or ARB, any statin along with aspirin (antiplatelet agent) as a first line therapy to maintain the targeted blood glucose level, essential blood pressure control and also to avoid further cardiovascular complications. In some chronic cases intensified therapy with the addition of some more pharmacological agents, e.g. sulphonylurea or GLP 1 agonist or CCB to above mentioned regimen is required.\textsuperscript{10} Such, a complex drug regimen leads to increased pill burden and often reflects into decreased patient compliance. This poor adherence to prescribed regimen, then gets translated into worsening of conditions and increase in hospitalization and all-cause mortality to a significant extent.\textsuperscript{11-12} Noncompliance and lack of persistence with treatment is the major problem associated with the therapy of coexistent hypertension and T2DM. Contributing reasons for this poor adherence may be polypharmacy, complex drug regimen, increased pill burden, cost and the need of long term therapy. A study carried out by Marsha A. Raebel et al. has shown that in patients with a newly ordered medication for hypertension, diabetes or hyperlipidemia 7\% were found primarily non-adherent.\textsuperscript{13} Lower than 80\% compliance was responsible for 1.39 times increased risk of undergoing a modification in the initially prescribed antihypertensive drug regimen.\textsuperscript{14} Similarly a study conducted out by Ho PM et al. demonstrated that significantly higher rates of morbidity and hospitalization, coupled with significantly higher Hb A1C, BP, and LDL-C levels were associated with < 80\% adherence to their treatment regimen including oral antidiabetes agents, antihypertensive agents, and/or statin therapy compared with more adherent patients. Importantly, each 25\% improvement in adherence was associated with a reduction in A1C (0.05%), systolic BP/diastolic BP (SBP/DBP, 1.0/1.2 mm Hg), and LDL-C (3.8 mg/dL) that correlated with a significant (P<0.1) reduction in all-cause hospitalization and mortality.\textsuperscript{15} This non adherence also leads to increased death rate and morbidity.\textsuperscript{16}

Patients when switched to FDC from combination therapy shows improved adherence. A study carried out by Melikian C et al. in diabetic patients demonstrated that adherence to prescribed regimen was increased to 77\% with the use of glyburide and metformin FDC compared to 54\% when these agents were in 2 pills.\textsuperscript{17} Likewise, it was found that compliance was 19\% greater in hypertensive patients taking lisinopril/HCTZ combination compared to drugs taken in 2 different tablets.\textsuperscript{18} Along with improving adherence FDC also lowers the cost involved in the treatment of cardiovascular disease.\textsuperscript{19} Therefore, use of FDC will be one of the promising solution in the treatment of coexistent T2DM and hypertension where patients have to take 4-5 tablets on an average per day and thus show poor compliance to therapy.

The present research aims at the formulation and development of FDC of antihypertensive agent and antidiabetic drugs. Metformin HCl (insulin sensitizer), telmisartan (ARB), atorvastatin calcium (HMG Co-A reductase inhibitor) and aspirin were selected for the formulation according to a literature review and patient survey conducted in local hospitals. Absence of significant pharmacokinetics as well as pharmacodynamic interactions between these drugs supported their selection. In contrast to it there exist some synergistic interactions between these drugs which further confirmed selection of these agents. For instance co-administration of telmisartan and aspirin in male Sprague–Dawley streptozotocin induced diabetic rats lead to retardation of progress of diabetic nephropathy due to suppression of the augmented TGFβ/smad signaling.\textsuperscript{20} Metformin and telmisartan co-administration leads to antiobesity effect which in turn will be helpful for diabetic patients.\textsuperscript{21} Statin and ARB co-administration leads to effective prevention of cardiovascular end points.\textsuperscript{22} Metformin and statin combination leads to the enhancement of the antidiabetic activity of metformin as pharmacodynamic interaction between metformin and statin increases bioavailability of metformin and leads to marked decrease in serum glucose level.\textsuperscript{23} So this formulation will be definitely a savior for the patients of coexistent T2DM and hypertension from the pill burden.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

Metformin HCl, telmisartan, atorvastatin calcium, aspirin and Eudragit® L 100-55 were received as gift samples from the Lupin Research Park, Pune. Tablet excipients
were procured from Merck Specialties Pvt. Ltd, Mumbai. Starch 1500® was obtained from Colorcon Asia Pvt. Ltd., Goa. All the reagents used during HPLC analysis were of HPLC grade and purchased from Hi Media Laboratories Pvt. Ltd, Mumbai.

**Methods**

**Preformulation studies**

**Drug-drug and drug-excipients compatibility study**

Pure drugs and physical mixtures of drugs in a 1:1 w/w ratio were prepared in the given combination and kept in screw capped transparent vials for 21 days at 37°C. After 21 days they were subjected to DSC analysis. The DSC thermograms of pure drugs and mixtures were recorded by using a differential scanning calorimeter (Mettler Toledo DSC-823e system) equipped with a computerized data station. All samples were weighed and heated at a scanning rate of 10°C/min between 30 and 300°C and 60 ml/min of nitrogen flow. For drug-excipient compatibility study, physical mixtures of drugs in a 1:1 w/w ratio with excipients were prepared and kept in screw capped transparent vials for 21 days at 37°C. After 21 days they were subjected to IR analysis.

**Formulation of fixed dose combination of metformin HCl, telmisartan, atorvastatin calcium and aspirin**

**Formulation of enteric compression coated aspirin tablet**

The inner core tablet was prepared by direct compression method. The powder mixture of aspirin (75 mg), starch 1500® (5 mg) and PVP K 30 (5 mg) were dry blended first for 20 minutes, followed by the addition of stearic acid (3 mg) and talc (2 mg). Stearic acid was used since magnesium stearate cannot be used for enteric coating. Different ratios of aspirin: Eudragit® L 100-55 (core: coat ratios) were tried ranging from 1:1 to 1:3 to avoid the gastric irritation problem associated with aspirin.

Compression coating was done by the direct compression technique using rotary tablet machine with different size punches depending on the weight. Process of compression coating is mainly divided into two parts. The first part involves the coating of the core tablet in the center over the initially compressed coat followed by placing the second part of coating material and subjecting the tablet to the final compression with full compression force. Thus, this forms a sandwiched tablet where, core is sandwiched between the coats.

**Selection of core: coat and upper: lower layer weight ratio of coating material**

Aspirin tablet was coated using different ratios of corecoat, i.e. 1:1, 1:2 and 1:3 to formulate a stable compression coated tablet. In these tablets lower and upper layer ratio of coating material was kept constant i.e. 1:1. The concentration which was found to be more stable in acidic 0.1 N HCl during a disintegration test was selected for further studies. The selected concentration was used in the different ratios of its layers, i.e. lower layer and upper layer in range of 1:1, 1:2, 1:3. The ratios which showed resistance to degradation in 0.1 N HCl during a disintegration test and desired drug release pattern during In vitro dissolution study was used for further evaluation. The above compression coated tablets were evaluated for physical properties like weight variation, thickness, hardness, friability and drug content.

**Disintegration test**

The disintegration time of enteric compression coated tablets was determined by using a disintegration test apparatus (Model-ED-2L, Electrolab, India) for six tablets. The apparatus was operated for first 2 hrs in 0.1 N HCl without disc and then 0.1 N HCl was replaced with pH 6.8 buffer solution and operated for further 1 h with discs maintained at 37 ± 2°C.

**Dissolution study of enteric compression coated tablet of aspirin**

Dissolution testing of enteric compression coated aspirin tablet was carried out in triplicate in accordance with the USP 30/NF 25 monograph for aspirin delayed release tablets. Drug release was determined using dissolution apparatus I (baskets) at 100 RPM (DS 8000, Lab India, Mumbai) and 37°C ± 0.5°C. During the acid
Table 1: Composition of bilayer tablet of metformin HCl, telmisartan and atorvastatin calcium.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredient</th>
<th>F1</th>
<th>F2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metformin HCl immediate release layer</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starch 1500</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVP K 30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avicel PH 101</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg. Stearate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talc</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.Water</td>
<td>q.s.</td>
<td>q.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total layer weight</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atorvastatin calcium telmisartan immediate release layer</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telmisartan</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atorvastatin calcium</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starch 1500</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVP K 30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCC</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg. Stearate</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talc</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.Water</td>
<td>q.s.</td>
<td>q.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total layer weight</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total weight of bilayer tablet</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>660</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(All quantities are in mg)

stage (2 hours in 0.1 N HCl) aliquots were withdrawn at defined time intervals and tested for content of aspirin released by a UV spectrophotometer (UV 1800 Shimadzu, Japan) at 280 nm. The specification for the acid phase is not more than 10% aspirin dissolved. The acid was then drained from the vessel, and replaced with pH 6.8 phosphate buffer. Sample aliquots were withdrawn from the buffer phase in 30, 45, 60 and 90 minutes, and analyzed for the amount of aspirin dissolved by a UV spectrophotometer (UV 1800 Shimadzu, Japan) at 267 nm (Isosbestic point of aspirin and salicylic acid). The specification for the buffer phase is not less than 80% drug dissolved after 90 minutes. The cumulative percentage drug release was calculated. Best formulation was compared with conventional marketed product and similarity factor f′ value was determined.

**Formulation of bilayer tablet of metformin HCl, telmisartan and atorvastatin calcium**

A) Preparation of immediate release metformin HCl layer

Immediate release metformin HCl layer was prepared by the aqueous granulation method. The required materials (Table 1) were passed through #40 sieve. All materials, except polyvinyl pyrrolidone K 30 (PVP K 30) and lubricants were thoroughly mixed in a polybag for 30 min and then granulated using PVP as a binder solution. The wet granules were dried at 60°C for 5 h in a tray dryer. The dried granules were then passed through #20 sieve. To this, the lubricants which were previously passed through #40 sieve were added and mixed for 2 min.

B) Preparation of immediate release layer of telmisartan and atorvastatin calcium.

An immediate release layer of telmisartan and atorvastatin calcium was prepared by aqueous and direct compression method. The required materials (Table 1) were passed through #40 sieve. All materials, except polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP), meglumine and lubricants were thoroughly mixed in a polybag for 30 min and then granulated using a solution of PVP K 30 (10%) and meglumine. The wet granules were passed through #14 sieve. The granules prepared from aqueous granulation were dried at 60°C for 5 h in a tray dryer. The dried granules were then passed through #20 sieve. To this, the lubricants which were previously passed through #40 sieve were added and mixed for 2 min. For direct compression method all the required materials, except lubricants were weighed accurately (Table 1) and mixed thoroughly in mortar using pestle. Lubricants were added towards the end and mixed well. The formed powder mixture was then used further for preparing bilayer tablet, separating metformin HCl layer from the layer of a mixture of telmisartan and atorvastatin calcium.

**Compression of bilayer tablet of FDC of metformin HCl, telmisartan and atorvastatin calcium**

The tablets of F1 and F2 were compressed using a rotary tablet machine equipped with 17×6 mm capsule shape standard concave punch. First a slight pre-compression was made with the metformin HCl layer so that the layer was uniformly distributed. After that, the second layer of atorvastatin calcium and telmisartan was added and the final compression was made. Parameters such as hardness, weight variation, friability, thickness, content uniformity were evaluated for the prepared tablets. For the purpose of selecting the batch for the dissolution and further study a tablet was crushed and dissolved in 900 ml of 0.1 N HCl. After the complete dissolution of tablet, pH of the solution was checked. Depending on the attained pH value batch was selected for further dissolution study.

**In vitro dissolution studies**

The dissolution test for bilayer tablet was performed in triplicate using a USP type II (paddle) dissolution apparatus (DS 8000, Lab India, Mumbai) at 37°C ±
0.5°C and 50 RPM speed in pH 6.8 phosphate buffer for 1 hour. Aliquots of dissolution fluid were removed at specified time intervals (10 min) and assayed for the release of metformin HCl, telmisartan and atorvastatin by a validated HPLC method. At each time of withdrawal, 10 ml of fresh corresponding dissolution medium was replaced into the dissolution flask.

**Stability studies**

The FDA and ICH specify the guidelines for stability testing of new drug products, as a technical requirement for the registration of pharmaceuticals for human use. The study was performed under accelerated stability conditions at 40°C ± 2°C/75% RH ± 5% RH for 3 months.

**RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

**Drug–drug and drug–excipients compatibility study**

The DSC thermogram of pure drugs and physical mixtures are shown in (Figure 1). The DSC thermogram of pure metformin HCl (a) indicated a sharp endothermic peak at 236°C corresponding to melting of pure metformin HCl. The thermogram of pure telmisartan (b) indicated a sharp endothermic peak at 270°C corresponding to melting of pure telmisartan and the thermogram of pure atorvastatin calcium (c) indicated a sharp endothermic peak at 160°C corresponding to melting of pure atorvastatin calcium. The thermogram of pure aspirin (d) indicated a sharp endothermic peak at 141°C corresponding to melting of pure aspirin.

The peak for telmisartan was vanished in a mixture of metformin HCl, telmisartan and atorvastatin calcium (e) as well as in a mixture of metformin HCl and telmisartan (f) also peak of telmisartan was not observed. This indicated the presence of interaction between these components. In a mixture of metformin HCl and atorvastatin calcium (f) as well as in a mixture of atorvastatin calcium and telmisartan (g) peaks of both the drugs were present, but peak broadening was observed and also the relative intensities are changed due to dilution of the drug in the samples. So from DSC study it can be concluded that metformin HCl and telmisartan are physically incompatible with each other. Also telmisartan and atorvastatin calcium did not form a complex with each other as the endothermic peaks remained unchanged in position. Similarly metformin HCl and atorvastatin also found to be compatible with each other as their endothermic peaks remained unchanged in position.

The IR spectra of pure drugs and physical mixture of all drugs along with all excipients are shown in (Figure 2). The absence of significant change in the IR spectra indicates the physical compatibility between drug and excipients.
Formulation and development of FDC of metformin HCl, telmisartan, atorvastatin calcium and aspirin

From the DSC studies, we concluded that telmisartan and metformin HCl are not physically compatible with each other. Therefore, we went for preparing the bilayer tablet of metformin HCl, telmisartan and atorvastatin calcium separating metformin HCl layer from the layer of a mixture of telmisartan and atorvastatin. As it is known aspirin leads to irritation to gastric mucosa, it is necessary to avoid its release in the gastric environment. Therefore, aspirin was enteric coated. Then bilayer tablet of metformin HCl, telmisartan and atorvastatin calcium separating metformin layer from a layer of a mixture of telmisartan and atorvastatin calcium and enteric compression coated aspirin tablet were further encapsulated in a size 00 hard gelatine capsule.

Evaluation of core aspirin tablet

Weight variation (85.33 ± 0.577 mg), thickness (3 ± 0.06) and hardness (5 ± 0.577) of the core tablets (P) were found to be within acceptable limits. The friability was ≤ 1% for all the formulations, which is an indication of good mechanical resistance of the tablet. The core tablets completely disintegrated within 5 min. Drug content of core tablets was observed within the range 97.26-98.56%. The In vitro release of aspirin from core tablet was 98.24% within 5 min in pH 6.8 phosphate buffer medium.

Selection of core: coat and upper: lower weight ratio of coating material

Formulations A1, A2 and A3 subjected to disintegration study as per USP. Formulation A1 was unable to protect core tablet, A2 and A3 were stable for the first 2 h in 0.1 N HCl and starts to rupture after 25 min and 36 min in pH 6.8 buffer respectively (Table 2).

Batch A2 was further processed to determine the upper (2nd): lower (1st) layer weight ratio of coating material because when the performance of batch A2 and A3 in disintegration test were compared, batch A2 tablet was found to show slow disintegration behavior as compared to batch A3 tablet. At the same time as mentioned earlier, it is required to encapsulate the coated tablet in a capsule. So even though batch A3 did not show any signs of coat rupture, the batch was excluded from further studies since its size was large which could not be encapsulated in the size 00 capsule (the higher limit size capsule for human consumption).

The coats of formulation A2 were further modified using different upper: lower layer weight ratios of coating material and subjected to disintegration. Among all formulations A2F fail to protect core tablet as it disintegrates in 0.1 N HCl as shown in (Table 3). Formulations A2D and A2E were stable in 0.1 N HCl and start to disintegrate in pH 6.8 buffer within 30 min. All stable formulations (A2D and A2E) were selected for further study (Table 3).

### Table 2: Selection of polymer concentration on the basis of disintegration test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Wt. (mg)</th>
<th>Punch Size (mm)</th>
<th>Core: coat ratio</th>
<th>Disintegration Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>Coat ruptures within 45 mins in acidic pH 1.2 medium.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>Coat remains intact in acidic pH 1.2 buffer medium and ruptures within 25 min in pH 6.8 buffer medium.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1:3</td>
<td>Coat remains intact in acidic pH 1.2 medium and ruptures within 36 min.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evaluation of enteric compression coated aspirin tablet:
All physical parameters were found to be within acceptable limit for enteric compression coated aspirin tablets (Table 4). The friability was ≤ 1% for all the formulations, which is an indication of good mechanical resistance of the tablet. Drug content of tablets was observed within the range 97.85-98.96% as shown in (Table 4).

**In-vitro dissolution study for enteric compression coated aspirin tablet**

*In vitro* dissolution study for compression coated tablets was carried out in 0.1 N HCl for the first 2 h and continued in phosphate buffer pH 6.8 for 1.5 h. The varying core: coat and upper: lower layer weight ratio in tablet formulations showed a marked difference in their drug release pattern as shown in (Figure 3). Batch A2D showed acid resistance for the first 2 h but in acid phase drug release was more than 10%, which is unacceptable according to the limits given in USP 30/NF 25. Therefore, both the batches A2D rejected. Batch A2E was found to show delayed and complete drug release as compared to A2D within 3.5 h. Therefore, batch A2E was found to be better and it was selected for further comparison with marketed formulation.

(Figure 4) shows that the drug release pattern from the batch A2E was approximately same as that of the conventional marketed tablet. Similarity factor F2 was found to be 69.33. Thus, A2E formulation can be considered as showing similar dissolution profile as that of reference products.

**Formulation and development of bi-layer tablet of metformin HCl, telmisartan and atorvastatin calcium**

As mentioned in the Table 1 addition of meglumine was done to adjust the pH of the formulation after dissolution. It was needed for maintaining the stability of atorvastatin calcium in acidic medium. Atorvastatin calcium is given in hydroxy acid form. But in acidic medium it gets converted into an inactive lactone form. The equilibrium of this reaction is obtained within the pH range of 5.5-6. So meglumine was selected as an alkalizing agent. Conventionally calcium carbonate was used as an alkalizer in atorvastatin formulations, but it may get degraded and produces by-products like calcium oxide and CO2, which may lead to further complications as well as its addition may also hamper tableting process. So use of calcium carbonate was avoided by us.24

For the preparation of a layer of a mixture of telmisartan and atorvastatin calcium direct compression technique was preferred over the wet granulation technique as during wet granulation, tablet blend was found to get liquefied leading to failure of granulation. So the option of wet granulation technique to prepare bilayer tablet was dropped at the initial stage only.

**Evaluation of bilayer tablet of metformin HCl, telmisartan and atorvastatin calcium**

Weight variation, thickness and hardness of the bilayer tablets (P) were found to be within acceptable limit.
its (Table 5). The friability was ≤ 1% for all the formulations, which is an indication of good mechanical resistance of the tablet. The bilayer tablets completely disintegrated within 8 min. Drug content of core tablets was observed within the range 95.88-94.96 for batch F1 and F2 as shown in (Table 5).

When the tablets were crushed and dissolved in pH 1.2 buffer, pH of the solution was shifted to pH 4 in batch F1 while to pH 6 in batch F2. As mentioned earlier pH of 5.5-6 is required for maintaining the stability of atorvastatin calcium in acidic medium, therefore batch F2 was selected for further studies.

**In vitro dissolution test for bi-layer tablet of metformin HCl, telmisartan and atorvastatin calcium**

Dissolution profile of batch F2 is shown in (Figure 5). Batch F2 releases more than 80% of metformin HCl, telmisartan and atorvastatin calcium within 1 hour.

**Stability Study**

After long term storage of formulation at 40°C/75% RH, stability of drug components remained unaffected. Drug content of the formulation after stability study is analyzed by a validated HPLC method and it found to be unhampered as shown in (Table 6). Similarly drug release profiles also remained unaffected without any significant changes.

**CONCLUSION**

To avoid the problem of poor patient adherence associated with the long term therapy of coexistent T2DM and hypertension formulation of FDC of antihypertensive and oral hypoglycemic agent was developed. Selection of metformin HCl, telmisartan, atorvastatin calcium and aspirin was favored by patient and literature survey. Final formulation consisted of enteric compression coated aspirin tablet and the bilayer tab-

**Table 5: Evaluation bilayer tablet.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation code</th>
<th>Hardness(kg/cm sq.)</th>
<th>Thickness (mm)</th>
<th>Weight Variation (mg)</th>
<th>% Friability</th>
<th>Content uniformity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>8±0.28</td>
<td>7.4±0.05</td>
<td>661±0.3</td>
<td>1.35±0.005</td>
<td>95.88±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>8.5±0.15</td>
<td>7.3±0.05</td>
<td>660±0.4</td>
<td>1.39±0.005</td>
<td>94.96±0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: Comparison of dissolution profile of batch A2E of enteric compression coated aspirin tablet with marketed formulation.

Figure 5: Dissolution profile of batch F2 of bilayer tablet
Table 6: Drug content stability study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>% Drug content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>on 0 day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metformin HCl</td>
<td>96.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telmisartan</td>
<td>94.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atorvastatin calcium</td>
<td>96.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>98.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

let of metformin HCl, telmisartan and atorvastatin calcium encapsulated in size 00 capsule. The in vitro drug release study revealed that all the drug components were released in desired manner. Thus we can conclude that FDC of antihypertensive and antidiabetic drug was developed successfully.
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