Ind. J. Pharm. Edu. Res., 2026; 60(2):467-473.
https://www.ijper.org

Review Article

Overcoming Implementation Challenges: A Comprehensive Review of ASEAN Medical Device Directive (AMDD) Regulatory Harmonization

Karthikeyan Vetrivel[1], Murugappan Mahalingam[1,*], Vivekanandan Elango[2], Yusuf Mansor[1], Kshitija Dilip Nikam[2]

[1]Department of Pharmaceutical Regulator Affairs, JSS College of Pharmacy, Ooty, Nilgiris, Tamil Nadu, INDIA.
[2]Department of Pharmaceutics, JSS College of Pharmacy, Ooty, Nilgiris, Tamil Nadu, INDIA.

ABSTRACT

The challenges of AMDD implantation across Southeast Asia are uniformly bringing medical device regulations better in line to improve the safety of patients while reducing regulatory hurdles and boosting regional trade. By using a mixed-methods approach-literature review, stakeholder interviews and the study reveals important barriers: inconsistencies in regulations, technical challenges, resource limitations, economic effects, post-market surveillance issues and language differences. The paper discusses the distinctive regional factors in ASEAN by comparing the implementation of AMDD and sharing some experiences from the FDA and European Union Medical Device Regulation. It calls for addressing the challenges through collaboration, capacity building, phase-in implementation and technology solutions. The expected timeline for the full implementation of AMDD has also been considered in this paper to discuss subsequent consequences for the ASEAN medical device market and the overall healthcare systems. These recommendations now form the salient inputs for the policymakers, regulators and industry participants on how committed engagement and flexible strategies will be required to fulfill the broader objectives of the AMDD in terms of better health outcomes and economic development for the region.

Keywords: ASEAN Medical Device Directive (AMDD), Implementation challenges, Patient Safety, Regulatory harmonization, Southeast Asia.

Correspondence:
Mr. Murugappan Mahalingam
Department of Pharmaceutics, JSS College of Pharmacy, JSS Academy of Higher Education and Research, Ooty, Nilgiris-643001, Tamil Nadu, INDIA.
Email: murugappan92@jssuni.edu.in
ORCID: 0000-0003-3062-6568

Received: 02-07-2025;
Revised: 26-09-2025;
Accepted: 13-10-2025.

INTRODUCTION

The ASEAN Medical Devices Directive, or simply AMDD, is a regulation set to harmonize Southeast Asia's regulations. Its major purposes are to improve patient safety and regional trade. Regulatory harmonization, economic disparities, technical standards and cultural differences cause challenges in implementing this directive. Building capacity, involving stakeholders and harmonizing regulations are undoubtedly necessary. The most difficult problems are gaps in technological sufficiency and regulations. Then there are the concerns about transition management, legal aspects, cultural diversity, data protection, cyber security and post-market surveillance. The AMDD promises to create an adaptive strategy among ASEAN members to foster partnerships for a dynamic medical device market to enhance regional access to healthcare. Hence, all parties must make an extra effort to balance advancing their diverse national interests with the need to collaborate and establish a unified, harmonized system that meets the unique requirements of ASEAN as well as international standards.[1]

Brief introduction of the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) and the medical device directive

The Association of Southeast Asian Nations, or ASEAN, is a regional intergovernmental organization consisting of ten Southeast Asian countries. Founded on August 8, 1967, in Bangkok, Thailand, the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) goal is to accelerate economic growth, social progress and sociocultural evolution among its member states as well as to provide regional stability. Below is a brief introduction:

Member states

Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, Indonesia, Laos, Malaysia, Myanmar, Philippines, Singapore, Thailand, Vietnam.

The Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) operates through three main pillars.

DOI: 10.5530/ijper.20260969

APSC: ASEAN Political-Security Community

Ensure that countries in the region live in peace with one another and with the world in a just, democratic and harmonious environment.

AEC: ASEAN Economic Community

Work towards creating a single market and production base with a free flow of goods, services, property, investment, skilled labor and freer movement of capital.

ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community (ASCC)

Building a community that engages and benefits the people, ensures social development and addresses global environmental challenges.

Key initiatives

ASEAN Free Trade Area (AFTA)

Reduction of tariffs and promotional trade among the member states.

ASEAN Framework Agreement on Services (AFAS)

The flow of services throughout the region.

ASEAN Comprehensive Investment Agreement (ACIA)

Encouragement and protection of investment within ASEAN.

ASEAN Connectivity Master Plan

Advances physical, institutional and people-to-people connectivity.

Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) Secretariat

Location: Jakarta, Indonesia.

Role: The Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) Secretariat plays an important role in cooperation, peace and economic development within Southeast Asia and facilitates the fulfillment of policy, directives and cooperation among its members. Supporting the AMDD framework, the secretariat's work is aligned with harmonizing medical device regulations through a process-oriented approach that would ensure the safe and effective use of the devices while streamlining the requirements to enter the market. Advocacy, improved technical competencies and collaborative cooperation are the tenets guiding this harmonization. Moreover, the Secretariat collaborates with other international partners in the pursuit of best practices to enhance regional integration and community through prioritizing patient safety and applying knowledge of medical device standards and frameworks.[2]

Why harmonization of regulatory requirements is key?

The ASEAN Medical Devices Directive tries to standardize the medical device standards across Southeast Asia to enhance the facilitation of trade, improve the competitiveness of the industry and improve patient safety. Some of the few burdens that bring about challenges include the various regulatory levels, national reconciliation, technological obstacles, resource constraints, cultural disparities and the rate of fast pace of technological advancement. Challenges will not complement the benefits derived from improved outcomes in health, economic growth and regional cooperation. Full negotiation, investment in infrastructure and training as well as engaging the stakeholders are required to implement successfully in building a cohesive framework that would meet the demands of the varied interests of ASEAN members within the confines of international norms.[3]

BACKGROUND

The history of the process by which ASEAN countries have regulated medical devices would well illustrate steady advancement along the way to regional harmonization and standardization toward safety, quality and efficacy in medical devices. There are several significant key phases tracing back to this history:

Pre-2000

The regulatory landscape was characterized by diversified national regulations with each ASEAN member state having its requirements and the procedure for implementing them regarding medical devices.

1967-1999

ASEAN was formed in 1967 and regional cooperation dates back to this period. At first, it consisted only of political and economic cooperation. In the latter half of the 1990s, the regulations for medical devices in the member states required harmonization to enhance the fluidity of trade and regional safety standards.

Early 2000s

During this period the harmonization efforts were intensified. ACCSQ set the course with the creation of the Medical Device Product Working Group, which went on to lay the cornerstone for the common regulatory framework.

Implementation of the ASEAN Medical Device Directive in 2014: The agreement commits to harmonizing the medical device regulation of every country very key aspect across the region parts that include but are not limited to, product registration and conformity assessment, post-market surveillance and labeling requirements.

2015-Present

Member states implemented and improved. The national regulations of member states started harmonizing with the AMDD. This would require demands for changes in their administrative and legal framework. For the effective implementation of capacity-building programs, ASEAN partnered with outside aid. The MDPWG would address new challenges and integrate global best practices to enhance the AMDD.

It represents the commitment of ASEAN to bringing a strong, single regulatory authority for medical devices across the region that balances members' diverse demands with regional harmonization.[4]

OBJECTIVES OF THE ASEAN MEDICAL DEVICE DIRECTIVE (AMDD)

This ASEAN Medical Device Directive is meant to revolutionize the regulation of medical equipment in Southeast Asia by offering a unified framework. This would therefore encompass various critical elements.

Safety and Efficacy for Devices

The AMDD will establish standards throughout the life cycle of medical device design, production and surveillance. This will ensure that the safety and efficacy of medical devices in the ASEAN market remain a priority in regional public health.

Market Entry Expedited

One of AMDD's objectives is to harmonize approval processes to address entry barriers in the market. This would encourage a more competitive ASEAN medical device market and easier inter country trade within the area.

Harmonization of Regulatory Approaches

It enables harmonization of the approaches in regulatory matters across the member states by harmonizing national regulations in a way that minimizes discrepancies amongst countries and streamlined processes.

Improvement of Efficiency of Regulatory Measures

The AMDD further encourages regulatory efficiency and administrative burdens from both sides by unifying requirements for documentation and facilitating submission processes.

Clarity and Credibility

Building on dependable and transparent processes in dealing with solvability problems, the AMDD will have its foundation to start with credibility amongst stakeholders and settle an atmosphere that is growth-friendly to the general public and the insiders in the industry.

International Best Practice

Encourage the development of relevant technologies to enhance ASEAN's competitiveness in the global marketplace for medical devices, following standards such as ISO 13485 for medical device quality management systems and IEC 60601 for electrical safety.

Increased Post-Market Vigilance

A strong post-market surveillance system backbone of the AMDD that can be used to quickly identify and correct any potential safety-related issues and thus find a place in the AMDD.

Support to Skill Building

Since the implementation may be cumbersome, training/ capacity-building provisions are made available for strengthening regulatory capability in all member countries.

Support of Technological Advancement

The AMDD looks into the development of avenues for innovative medical devices with stringent safety standards and still gives a push on the improvement of health technology.

Through these key objectives, AMDD is also working to develop a sophisticated, efficient and harmonized regulatory framework for medical devices within the region, promoting regional cooperation and trade and enhancing standards of healthcare.

The current state of implementation

The regulatory regimes and infrastructural levels differ from one member country to another, which becomes an issue of the ASEAN Medical Device Directive. Inconsistent interpretation of regulations and limited technical know-how make uniform implementation frustrating and smaller manufacturers face difficulties in adhering to new demands. Regulatory agencies and businesses are burdened greatly by the shift, which calls for updated processes, trained personnel and compliance. Despite these challenges, collaborative and capacity-building activities are helping to bridge gaps and harmonize regulations to ensure patient safety. While remaining committed to developing an organized medical device market that opens greater access to quality devices throughout the region, ASEAN members commit themselves to closely pursuing amendments to existing policies on the regulation and registration of medical devices.[5]

METHODOLOGY

Mitigating the challenges of implementation of the ASEAN Medical Devices Directives: a mixed methods approach

The adoption challenges of AMDD were thus addressed through the mixed-method approach, in which there was a literature review to understand the basics of implementing guidelines, as well as interviews with the stakeholders who provided insights regarding the adoption process. Also, some community surveys

within the medical device community give back some feedback on how the guidelines could be followed better. The integration of qualitative and quantitative analysis resulted in very robust conclusions and formed actionable recommendations tailored for ASEAN member states in effective and harmonized medical device regulation.

Reports data for previous implementations

Since the 1990s, the ASEAN Medical Device Directive has been implemented to harmonize laws in participating countries for better protection of patients and faster access to markets. Among the key stages were the creation of the Medical Device Product Working Group and the subsequent harmonization of national standards with AMDD requirements after the 2014 agreement. Challenges such as resource constraints, regulatory disparities and high compliance costs, especially for SMEs, were addressed through capacity building, international partnerships and collaborative efforts. Drawing insights from FDA and EU MDR models, ASEAN has improved regulatory efficiency and patient safety, with full adoption anticipated by the decade's end.

Achievements on implementation

Implementation of the ASEAN Medical Device Directive (AMDD) among member states has advanced significantly. Singapore set the norm by integrating AMDD standards into its strong regulatory framework and facilitating their easy implementation with its state-of-the-art healthcare system. Building capability, incorporating AMDD regulations into national law and post-market surveillance were given top priority in Malaysia. Thailand streamlined regulatory processes under AMDD guidelines to facilitate trade while safeguarding patient safety. Vietnam focused on compliance-driven training to address the infrastructure challenges. Indonesia emphasized agency coordination and decentralized rule administration to meet the objectives of AMDD effectively. In the Philippines, stakeholder engagement and technical training strengthened the regulatory framework, aligning it with the standards of AMDD. These collective efforts improved patient safety enhanced market access and promoted regulatory harmonization across ASEAN. These will pave the way for full AMDD implementation while addressing the unique challenges of each member state.

MAIN CHALLENGES

Implementation of the ASEAN medical devices directives

Challenges in the implementation of the ASEAN Medical Device Directive arise because of the different regulatory frameworks and technological capacities of the member states. Some of the major obstacles include uneven infrastructure, lack of experience and the regulatory burden on small enterprises. Enhanced cooperation, coordination and best practice sharing will be required to achieve harmonization. Mutual recognition agreements, technical support and institutional capacity-building through targeted training will be important priorities in these areas so that issues can be addressed and the directive can be implemented effectively in the region. Harmonizing the requirements and encouraging cooperation with the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN).[6]

Diversified regulatory systems, Technical barriers and standardization efforts, Resource and capacity constraints, Economic Impact and market access issues, Post-market surveillance and vigilance, Language and cultural barriers

Implementation of ASEAN Medical Device Directive (AMDD) into diverse regulatory systems: a challenge

The key issues in the implementation of the AMDD are the disparate regulatory systems from one ASEAN member state to another, their histories, infrastructures and capabilities. This paper identifies issues in national regulations as well as assesses these. Having stakeholders, regulatory agencies, industry leaders and healthcare providers in the fray makes one consider the hindrances in this regard such as resource constraints and heterogeneous technological capacities. Paths to convergence are through capacity building, training and common space, with the goal of regulatory convergence, hence uniformity in implementing the AMDD in the region.

Technical barriers and standards alignment: implementation challenges of the ASEAN Medical Device Directives (AMDD)

The ASEAN Medical Device Directive, however, will bring on humongous technical burdens, especially on account of the infrastructural and regulatory capacities across member states that often render enforcement inconsistent and compliance arduous for manufacturers. Variability in the complexity of devices ranging from the simplest tools to the most advanced digital technologies creates even more problems because lesser producers have to pay heavy financial penalties. Overcoming these challenges requires effective and robust technical assistance, training and even standardization. The adoption and proper implementation of the idea of AMDD would most likely rely on cooperative structures, such as transnational regulatory forums and resource-sharing programs.[7]

Capacity and resource constraints in implementing ASEAN Medical Devices Directives (AMDD)

The lack of capacity and resources in most of the ASEAN member states poses the greatest challenge that the AMDD would grapple with. Underdeveloped infrastructure and personnel, not adequately trained and not up to international standards, also cause delays and inconsistencies regarding the approval of devices, while financial constraints further place obstacles in adopting modern technology and effective post-market

surveillance. Non-harmonized standards add complexity to compliance with all the above challenges. This would call for huge investment in training, infrastructure and technology besides regional cooperation and resource sharing to devise ways of confronting such problems.

Economic impact and market access issues in implementing ASEAN Medical Devices Directives (AMDD)

This poses substantial economic challenges, mainly the cost burden of compliance. The AMDD thus poses significant challenges, especially to Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs) concerning the high compliance costs it imposes, which may defeat the very essence of enhancing their market competitiveness. Harmonization is purported to make trade easier; however, it raises entry barriers in the short run since the levels of preparedness are different among member states, hence higher entry costs and longer approval times. The challenge, however, is that AMDD delivers some significant economic benefits in the long run through an orderly regime that can increase investor confidence and innovation. These initial challenges must be appropriately addressed to attain balanced growth in ASEAN's medical device sector.[8]

Post-market surveillance and vigilance: implementation challenges for the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) Directives on Medical Devices

Post-market surveillance plays an important role as a tool for ensuring success in the AMDD, but the available regulatory infrastructures and resources vary between ASEAN countries. A mixed methodology has been applied to this research, incorporating International Medical Device Regulators Forum (IMDRF) post-market surveillance guidelines, which involved starting up with the evaluation of current post-market surveillance frameworks to determine gaps and best practices. To conclude, field studies with authorities from both the regulatory and industry sectors, in combination with surveys among manufacturers of products CE-marked, have uncovered practical challenges and emerging trends. Above all, vigilance has highlighted the need to improve cooperation, upgrade training and introduce better technology as a method of improving the devices in ASEAN member countries.

Language and cultural barriers to the implementation of ASEAN Medical Device Directives (AMDD)

It will require accounting for language and cultural diversity in terms of addressing challenges in the AMDD. This paper explores how linguistic and cultural differences impact the regulation of compliance among ASEAN states through a qualitative study that analyses interviews and focus groups with diverse stakeholders to understand how issues like varying interpretations and cultural impacts may be addressed. The above, suggests improvements that include communication strategies, translation services, cultural sensitivity training and standardizing terminologies to ensure smooth AMDD implementation in all ASEAN countries.

CASE STUDIES

Challenges to implementing the ASEAN Medical Devices Directives (AMDD) in member states

At the national level, the ASEAN Medical Device Directive presents unique difficulties. Limited regulatory capacity, uneven application of AMDD legislation and high compliance costs particularly for SMEs, which are unable to meet the testing and documentation requirements are some of Thailand's problems. Language and cultural barriers increase the difficulty of standardization and resource constraints make post-market surveillance management more challenging. In this case, uneven implementation, conflicting legislation and lack of funds create delays and miscommunication in the Malaysian system.[9] To make it more financially sustainable, it imposes over-compliance costs on its SMEs with very little global competitiveness and lacks adequate post-market surveillance infrastructure. Because of its strong healthcare system, the adoption of AMDD in Singapore is at the forefront of the world. As for smaller ASEAN firms, however, it was not easy to comply as they require very high-level compliance from Singapore. Indonesia is struggling with decentralization, inconsistent regulatory enforcement and resource shortages, which complicate the implementation of AMDD and market access. Vietnam's rapidly growing medical device market is limited by inadequate infrastructure, the lack of standardized guidelines and a scarcity of resources. Cultural and language barriers are further delay factors. In Indonesia and Vietnam, SMEs bear a great financial and administrative burden to comply with the harmonized standards of AMDD.

Challenges in implementing ASEAN Medical Devices Directives (AMDD) compared with the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and European Union Medical Device Regulation (EU MDR)

The AMDD is more of a regional approach, unlike the FDA and EU MDR concerning strict pre-market approval and post-market surveillance. The FDA singles out safety and efficacy, while the EU MDR balances transparency with substantial clinical evidence. To balance this factor, there are added complexities created by resource constraints, interpretative differences and implementation timelines among the ASEAN member states. The lessons from the FDA and EU on stakeholder engagement and phased implementation can guide AMDD's harmonization and compliance efforts.[10]

POTENTIAL SOLUTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendations on implementing the ASEAN medical devices directives (AMDD)

To improve adherence to the ASEAN Medical Device Directive (AMDD), several suggestions are made, including creating a unified regulatory framework with precise instructions and deadlines to address member states' varying capacities to uphold high standards. Funding regulatory officer capacity-building initiatives, encouraging interagency cooperation and implementing technology-based governance solutions, such as a centralized online registry for improved monitoring. Encourage stakeholder participation and public-private partnerships in the policy-making process to boost cooperation and acceptance. Effective adaptation and compliance with AMDD will be facilitated by ongoing monitoring and assessment.[11]

Key recommendations from best practices of the implementation of ASEAN medical devices directives (AMDD)

The collaboration of regulatory agencies and the medical technology industry is necessary for the effective implementation of AMDD. Sponsoring capacity building through seminars and training programs is, therefore, very important in aligning systems with AMDD, especially in Indonesia and Vietnam. Clear and transparent regulatory standards supported by guidance materials and open communication will resolve inconsistencies and facilitate cross-border trade through centralized Internet platforms. To enhance institutional capacity, there should be a centralized database for registration and monitoring of IT systems along with upgrades. Due to financial incentives and lesser launch requirements, compliance loads will significantly be lessened for SMEs. Through ASEAN regional cooperation, workshops and partnerships with nations like Singapore, mentoring experience sharing and standard procedures shall be encouraged.

FUTURE OUTLOOK

Anticipated timeline for the full implementation of ASEAN Medical Devices Directives (AMDD)

The implementation of the AMDD shall be step-wise. The initial step would be the proper alignment of the national regulations of member countries with those of the AMDD, for which the member countries themselves will need training and adjustment for at least 2-3 years. Language and cultural barriers, as well as the solidification of the monitoring and enforcement regulatory infrastructure, will be undertaken while stakeholders are involved in optimizing it. By the end of the decade, most of the ASEAN countries would have properly adopted the AMDD. Regional cooperation and capacity building will prove to be the biggest way forward to tackle the challenges and achieve a harmonized regulatory regime.[12]

CONCLUSION

There are several barriers associated with implementing the ASEAN Medical Device Directive, such as capacity differences in member states with inconsistent regulatory statuses that lead to confusion about market access. Harmonization is supposed to reduce administrative burdens, decrease the time taken to seek approval and push forward market access. Factors leading to compliance and competitiveness issues include the lack of available qualified personnel, unclear guidance and extra financial burdens, especially for SMEs. These challenges can be overcome only through concerted efforts, including building robust regulatory frameworks, developing capacity and enhancing collaboration within the ASEAN region. Stakeholders must actively engage in capacity-building initiatives to foster growth. This may take off in making the market more attractive, improving patient safety and fostering regional development.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank the Department of Science and Technology-Fund for Improvement of Science and Technology Infrastructure (DST-FIST) and Promotion of University Research and Scientific Excellence (DST-PURSE) and Department of Biotechnology-Boost to University Interdisciplinary Life Science Departments for Education and Research program (DBT-BUILDER) for the facilities provided in our department.

ABBREVIATIONS

ASEAN: Association of Southeast Asian Nations; **AMDD:** ASEAN Medical Device Directive; **APSC:** ASEAN Political-Security Community; **AEC:** ASEAN Economic Community; **ASCC:** ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community; **AFTA:** ASEAN Free Trade Area; **AFAS:** ASEAN Framework Agreement on Services; **ACIA:** ASEAN Comprehensive Investment Agreement; **FDA:** Food and Drug Administration; **EU MDR:** European Union Medical Device Regulation; **MDPWG:** Medical Device Product Working Group; **IMDRF:** International Medical Device Regulators Forum; **ISO:** International Organization for Standardization; **IEC:** International Electrotechnical Commission; **SMEs:** Small and Medium-sized Enterprises; **DST-FIST:** Department of Science and Technology-Fund for Improvement of Science and Technology Infrastructure; **DST-PURSE:** Promotion of University Research and Scientific Excellence; **DBT-BUILDER:** Department of Biotechnology-Boost to University Interdisciplinary Life Science Departments for Education and Research.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Kaushik A, Saini K, Anil B, Rambabu S. Harmonized Medical Device Regulation: Need, Challenges and Risks of not Harmonizing the Regulation in Asia. J Young Pharm JYP. 2010; 2(1): 101-6.
2. ASEAN Secretariat. "ASEAN Medical Device Directive.". Available at: https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=00225787f5d9c805JmltdHM9MTcyMjk4ODgwMCZpZ3VpZD0xYTMyMjFhNS1hYWUxLTYyYTktMTg5MC0zMzM0YWJiMzYzZWEmaW5zaWQ9NTAwOA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=3&fclid=1a3221a5-aae1-62a9-1890-3334abb363ea&u=a1aHR0cHM6Ly9hc2Vhbi5vcmcvd3AtY29udGVudC91cGxvYWRzLzIwMTYvMDYvMjIuLVNlcHRlbWJlci0yMDE1LUFTRUFOLU1lZGljYWwtRGV2aWNlLURpcmVjdGl2ZS5wZGY&ntb=1
3. ASEAN Guidelines for Harmonisation of Standards, December 2022 available at ASEAN-Guidelines-for-Harmonisation-of-Standards-2022-Version.pdf
4. UPDATES ON ASEAN MEDICAL DEVICE REGULATIONS AND WAY FORWARD (INDUSTRY PERSPECTIVE) available at https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=5f110dddafe5315dJmltdHM9MTcyMjk4ODgwMCZpZ3VpZD0xYTMyMjFhNS1hYWUxLTYyYTktMTg5MC0zMzM0YWJiMzYzZWEmaW5zaWQ9NTI0MQ&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=3&fclid=1a3221a5-aae1-62a9-1890-3334abb363ea&psq=Harmonization+and+Standardization+of+the+ASEAN+Medical+Industry&u=a1aHR0cDovL3d3dy5haHdwLmluZm8vc2l0ZXMvZGVmYXVsdC9maWxlcy9VcGRhdGVfb25fQVNFQU5fUmVndWxhdG9yeV9GcmFtZXdvcmtzX2FuZF9XYXlfRm9yd2FyZF9mb3JfQUhXUC5wZGY&ntb=1
5. ASEAN MEDICAL DEVICE DIRECTIVE - Where is it now? Available at ASEAN MEDICAL DEVICE DIRECTIVE - Where is it now? | Access-2-Healthcare (access2hc.com)
6. THE ASEAN Medical Device Directive A WORK IN PROGRESS Presentation at the AHWP Nov 2016 available at https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=d707ca21e96b9ae0JmltdHM9MTcyMjk4ODgwMCZpZ3VpZD0xYTMyMjFhNS1hYWUxLTYyYTktMTg5MC0zMzM0YWJiMzYzZWEmaW5zaWQ9NTIxOA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=3&fclid=1a3221a5-aae1-62a9-1890-3334abb363ea&psq=THE+ASEAN+Medical+Device+Directive+A+WORK+IN+PROGRESS+Presentation+at+the+AHWP+Nov+2016&u=a1aHR0cDovL3d3dy5haHdwLmluZm8vc2l0ZXMvZGVmYXVsdC9maWxlcy82JTIwSW1wbGVtZW50aW5nJTIwdGhlJTIwQVNFQU4lMjBNZWRpY2FsJTIwRGV2aWNlJTIwRGlyZWN0aXZlJTIwMS4wLnBkZg&ntb=1
7. Medical Device: Reducing Technical Barriers while Safeguarding Public Health available at TTWTO VCCI - Medical Device: Reducing Technical Barriers while Safeguarding Public Health (aecvcci. vn)
8. Israel, Danilo C. Medalla, Erlinda M. Milo, Melanie S. Aldaba, Rafaelita M. Briones, Roehlano M. Llanto, Gilberto M. Rosellon, Maureen Ane D. Galang, Ivory Myka R. Navarro, Adoracion M. de Guzman, Reinier Ledda, Veredigna M. The ASEAN Economic Community and the Philippines: Implementation, Outcomes, Impacts and Ways Forward (Full Report) https://www.econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/126925/1/pidsdps1301.pdf
9. Priyanka R, Nagabhushanam M, Sireesha S, Sindhuja D, Bonthagarala B, Ramakrishna G, et al. REGULATORY CHALLENGES IN APPROVAL OF MEDICAL DEVICES IN ICH AND SELECTED COUNTRIES, FOR BETTER REGULATORY DELIVERY. 2015; 2116-27.
10. Schoener B, Hoxey E. Comparing Attributes of the ASEAN Medical Device Directive and EU 2017/745 Regulation. Biomed Instrum Technol. 2019; 53(3): 208-13.
11. SIMON PITTMAN, Standards Harmonisation in ASEAN: Progress, Challenges and Moving Beyond 2015 Standards Harmonisation in ASEAN: Progress, Challenges and Moving Beyond 2015 (eria.org)
12. ASEAN Medical Device Directive Implementation: Current Progress and Future Directions - may 2018 available at ASEAN Medical Device Directive Implementation: Current Progress and Future Directions - May 2018 (qualtechs.com)

Cite this article: Vetrivel K, Mahalingam M, Elango V, Mansor Y, Nikam KD. Overcoming Implementation Challenges: A Comprehensive Review of ASEAN Medical Device Directive (AMDD) Regulatory Harmonization. Indian J of Pharmaceutical Education and Research. 2025;60(2):467-73.